SUMMARY

Direct Current (dc) microgrids due to their efficiency and energy savings are being deployed to provide power for servers in Internet of Things (IoT) data centers, in more electric aircrafts (MEA), electric ships and in rail systems round the world. In this paper, Takagi–Sugeno fuzzy inference method is used to establish a Lyapunov stability candidate for a 380 V ring bus dc microgrid modeled with Matlab. To determine suitability of using powerline communication (PLC) to monitor stability condition on the 380 V dc microgrid, impact of distortion caused by microgrid constant power loads (CPL) on signals transmitted over the dc microgrid PLC channel is examined. It is shown in this paper that while Lyapunov asymptotic stability is maintained on the dc bus, increasing CPL on the microgrid causes the dc microgrid PLC channel to experience growing signal distortion.
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MOTIVATION AND INCITEMENT

Worldwide, data centers (such as Google Data Center) consume up to 1.5% of total generated electricity round the world. From the consumed 1.5%, they utilize only about 30% leading to a loss of 70%. Thus, the efficiency ratio of data centers round the world is approximately 30% [1]. The reason for this low efficiency is that data centers are always over-engineered in terms of electricity provision and usage with a lot of redundancies in electric power provision introduced in data center networks. An average data center draws about 25MW of electricity from the grid, hence they present a huge load to the electricity grid. Data centers must be reliable, they must have the exact needed supply of electricity at the right time since most data center equipment are very sensitive. Consider the typical data center power distribution topology shown in Figure 1. AC supply from the electricity mains will be
In [8], PLC is used for monitoring Electrocardiogram (ECG) and Electrocencephalogram (EEG) signals in order to reduce the cost associated with powerline visibility of feeder equipment for medium voltage substation automation. In [7], PLC is used for monitoring and supervision of power usage of a smart meter. In [6], PLC is used as a communication link between a smart meter and a central server. The central server can be used to monitor the powerline. In [5], changes in key PLC channel characteristics and parameters. In [4], authors discussed a power quality (PQ) monitoring solution based on PLC. The PLC hardware and software used for PQ monitoring and evaluation is based on the IEEE std. 1159. It is shown that PLC could be applied for power management by the end user. However, the effect of PLC channel loads on the PQ monitoring effectiveness of the system designed is not discussed. In [5], changes in key PLC channel characteristics of the channel frequency response (CFR) is observed to ensure the PQ of the powerline. In [6], PLC is used to ensure PLC channel performance metrics of energy systems which is required for reliable communication systems. In [5], PLC is used to ensure downstream visibility of connected devices will be achieved, thus power consumption efficiency and load balancing can be improved, and the need for redundant power supplies will be progressively phased out in data center topologies. Data center power usage and stability monitoring using PLC has been suggested in [2], but no one has worked on its feasibility and ways to make this possible. Also, to reduce the incidence of power loss, and to improve on reliability and efficiency, ring bus dc microgrids are being increasingly used in data centers, MEA, electric ships, rail systems, and autonomous islands more than ever [3]. DC microgrids have significant advantages when compared to alternating current (AC) based microgrids. dc microgrids have fewer conversion stages, leading to lower energy losses. Also, Most renewable energy sources such as photovoltaic (PV) are dc in nature. In fact, dc microgrids are central to the development of smart grid systems [3]. However, for PLC to be reliable means of monitoring IoT data center stability and power usage, the impact of varying dc microgrid powerline channel power loads on the effectiveness, signal distortion and reliability of PLC must be further studied. This is the main contribution of this paper.

**CONTRIBUTION AND ORGANIZATION**

In this paper, we have examined the stability of a low voltage dc microgrid that could satisfy the energy needs of a small autonomous system such as aircrafts, IoT data centers and electric ships when channel loads are varying on the powerline channel of the dc microgrid. A 380 V dc bus ring is modeled using Matlab due to many research work that reports on the merits of deploying 380 V dc for data centers and autonomous power systems worldwide [1] — [3], [9], [10]. Small and large signal stability issues are examined for the modeled 380 V dc microgrids when IoT cloud servers or data center servers acting as CPL are increasing on the dc bus. Stability is also examined when an energy source is experiencing large signal voltage transients on the microgrid. Impact of CPL loads on distortion experienced by basedband signals sent over the dc bus when the dc bus is used as a communication channel is also examined. Special emphasis is placed on dc microgrids that are providing power for IoT cloud servers and data centers in this paper due to the energy demands of such data centers and the impact of the demands on power systems that support them worldwide. Our contribution in the paper, to the best of our knowledge is the first known attempt at understanding the relationship between dc microgrid stability, varying CPLs and amount of signal distortion that they can cause of PLC signals transmitted on dc microgrid channels.

Section two of this paper discussed problem of circulating current, electromagnet interference (EMI) and dc bus line impedance problem on the 380 V dc ring bus microgrids. Small signal stability issues using Bode plots are discussed extensively. In section three, modeling approach for the 380 V dc ring bus microgrid used in this paper is discussed. In section four, the Takagi–Sugeno (TS) fuzzy inference method used to establish a Lyapunov candidate to ensure stability of the microgrid is discussed. Stability of the 380 V dc ring bus microgrid is discussed as well. In section five, impact of CPL on distortion of signal communicated using PLC on the dc bus of the microgrid is examined. Section six is conclusion of the paper.

**LITERATURE REVIEW**

In [4], authors discussed a power quality (PQ) monitoring solution based on PLC. The PLC hardware and software used for PQ monitoring and evaluation is based on the IEEE std. 1159. It is shown that PLC could be applied for power management by the end user. However, the effect of PLC channel loads on the PQ monitoring effectiveness of the system designed is not discussed. In [5], changes in key PLC channel characteristics of the channel frequency response (CFR) is observed to ensure the PQ of the powerline. In [6], PLC is used to ensure PLC channel performance metrics of energy systems which is required for reliable communication systems. In [5], PLC is used to ensure downstream visibility of connected devices will be achieved, thus power consumption efficiency and load balancing can be improved, and the need for redundant power supplies will be progressively phased out in data center topologies. Data center power usage and stability monitoring using PLC has been suggested in [2], but no one has worked on its feasibility and ways to make this possible. Also, to reduce the incidence of power loss, and to improve on reliability and efficiency, ring bus dc microgrids are being increasingly used in data centers, MEA, electric ships, rail systems, and autonomous islands more than ever [3]. DC microgrids have significant advantages when compared to alternating current (AC) based microgrids. dc microgrids have fewer conversion stages, leading to lower energy losses. Also, Most renewable energy sources such as photovoltaic (PV) are dc in nature. In fact, dc microgrids are central to the development of smart grid systems [3]. However, for PLC to be a reliable means of monitoring IoT data center stability and power usage, the impact of varying dc microgrid powerline channel power loads on the effectiveness, signal distortion and reliability of PLC must be further studied. This is the main contribution of this paper.

**CIRCULATING CURRENT IN LVDC RING BUS MICROGRID**

A representation of the ring bus LVDC microgrid considered in the work is shown in Figure 2. In this configuration, there exist several upstream line-regulating converters (LIR) that are connected to different energy sources which in most cases are renewable energy sources. LIR converters could be ac-dc or dc-dc, but the LIR converter discussed in this paper are Buck dc-dc converters. There also exist several point of load (POL) converters that are connected to loads. The LIR converters considered are Buck converters and they are stepping down a high voltage 600 V (considered in this paper) to a lower voltage, 380 V. On the modeled dc microgrid, only dc-dc Buck converters are considered for both LIR and POL converters. Similar work that considered ring bus Microgrids are reported in [10] and [11]; however, both works considered LIR and POL buck converters that have similar parameters. This is not quite close to real life since in most cases, Microgrids are populated with converters that have quite different configurations and parameters.

---

**Figure 1: Data centers loses enormous amount of power due to over provisioning of redundancies.**

---

In addition, most work in literature always consider constant power high bandwidth loads (CPL) as the worst-case load that could jeopardize stability and make control effort in Microgrids to be difficult. However, report in [12] and [13] have reveal new set of complex impedance low bandwidth loads that could exist because of changing converter parameters and other systemic nonlinearities that can exist on the microgrid in any instance. In addition to stability challenges that can result from changing converters bandwidth, problem of circulating currents can also jeopardize stability and power quality on dc microgrids. Power quality issues are very important for IoT cloud server, aircrafts and electric ship loads using dc microgrids. When do–dc converters are connected on microgrids for load sharing, problem of circulating current always exist. It is often a direct result of poorly regulated dc voltage and complex impedance that exist on the dc bus. For a multi-converter microgrid, the flow of circulating current among converters is as shown in Figure 3.

Circulating current can exist between converter 1, 2 and 3. Converter 1 (Conv 1 in Figure 3) and 2 are LRs while converter 3 is a POL converter. Indeed, circulating current flow (indicated by arrows and dotted lines) could exist among all the converters on the microgrid as shown in Figure 3.

Source voltages can be expressed as

\[ V_{s1} = I_1 Z_1 + I_L Z_L \]  
\[ V_{s2} = I_2 Z_2 + I_L Z_L \]  

and the difference between (3) and (4) is

\[ V_{s1} - V_{s2} = I_1 Z_1 - I_2 Z_2 \]  

The circulating currents between converter 1 and 2 can be expressed as when \( Z_e \neq Z_i \)

\[ I_{\text{circ} 1} = -I_{\text{circ} 2} = \frac{V_{s1} - V_{s2}}{Z_1 + Z_2} = \frac{l_1 Z_1 - l_2 Z_2}{Z_1 + Z_2} \]  

Also, when \( Z_e = Z_i \)

\[ I_{\text{circ} 1} = -I_{\text{circ} 2} = \frac{(l_1 - l_2) Z_1}{2 Z_1} = \frac{(l_1 - l_2)}{2} \]  

The circulating current for the n parallel converters from converter 1 to all other converters when \( n \) is given by

\[ I_{\text{circ} 1} = \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{l_1 Z_1 - l_k Z_k}{Z_1 + Z_n} \]  

also, the circulating current for n parallel converters from converter 1 to all other converters when \( n \) is given by

\[ I_{\text{circ} 1} = \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{l_1 - l_k}{n} \]  

Both results above in (8) and (11) could be [14] extended to the case for converters 2, 3, ..., n, for \( l_{\text{circ} n} \).
EMI and Line Impedance Problems on DC Microgrids

Apart from circulating currents, other issues that can affect stability is the existence of line electromagnetic interference (EMI) and excessive line impedance, both of which if not confined within tolerable limits can jeopardize individual converter’s small signal stability and hence the entire microgrid large signal stability. To limit EMI and input impedance to individual converters, damped input filters are always used. There are several possible input filter configurations to choose from [15], and the configurations are mainly based on the mode of damping employed to reduce the filters output impedance. Filter output impedance are a major consideration if both small and large signal stability of the microgrid are to be preserved. Middlebrook criterion [15] states that for stability to be maintained, then the magnitude of filter’s output impedance, i.e., ZoutputFilter in Figure 4(a) must be less than the magnitude of the converter’s input impedance ZinputConverter; i.e.,

\[ |Z_{\text{output filter}}| < |Z_{\text{input converter}}| \]  

(12)

For Middlebrooks criterion to be met, then filters must be damped. Reason is that, for undamped input filter in Figure 4a, at resonant frequency f0, that is, when:

\[ f_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{LC}} \]  

(13)

ZoutputFilter will approach infinity [15]. Since this work focuses on stability issues and distortion suffered by signals transmitted on dc microgrid channels, then it is instructive to examine different input filter configurations and how those configurations impact small signal converter stability and overall large signal system–wide stability. Major existing input filter topologies [15], [16], [17], that are examined include undamped input filter, parallel damped input filter (Figure 5a), series damped input filter (Figure 6a).

Figure 4a. Undamped filter with Buck converter.

Figure 4b. Small Signal Bode plot for undamped input filter.

Figure 5a. Parallel damped filter

Figure 5b. Small Signal Bode plot for parallel damped input filter.

Figure 6a. Series damped filter

Figure 6b. Small Signal Bode plot for series damped input filter.
For each configuration, bode plots are generated. The phase margin of bode plots is an indication of the limit of phase variation that can be attained at crossover frequency before dc microgrid stability is lost. The gain margin also indicates the limit of gain variation attainable at crossover frequency before stability is jeopardized. For most systems, a phase margin of 60-degree or more is always desired. Thus, as shown in Figure 4b, undamped input filter will adversely affect microgrid stability because of its poor phase margin of 33 degree. Stability result for a parallel damped configuration is shown in Figure 5b where it is show that the phase margin is well above the 60-degree threshold. The phase margin of the series damped filter of Figure 6b is lower than the 60-degree threshold. This indicate the series damped filter may not yield a good stability performance if it is used on the microgrid.

DC RING BUS MICROGRID MODELING

The ring bus microgrid considered in this paper is modeled as a contiguous series of pi bus (Figure 7) sections [10], [18]. Based on the segmenting approach discussed in [18], a breakpoint is introduced on the microgrid bus as shown in Figure 8. Each pi segment is also modeled as a filter and is added with the EMI-limiting damped input filter already existing with each converter as shown in Figure 9a. The result is a multiple section input filter with a good 180-degree phase margin bode plots shown in Figure 9b.

FUZZY INFERENCE DC MICROGRID LYAPUNOV LARGE SIGNAL STABILITY REGION ESTIMATION USING TAKAGI-SUGENO INFERENCE METHOD

To estimate the stability region and the impact of CPL distortion on signals exchanged over the dc bus of a microgrid having CPL, a pi section of the dc ring bus microgrid having one-source and one CPL shown in Figure 11 is used. This is similar to the approach used in [21], [22], [23], [26]. The generalized load impedance model on dc microgrids given in [12], [13], [20] is

$$Z_L(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i a_i + \frac{1}{\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i}$$

For CPL (one-load) used in this study

The constants $m$ and $n$ in (14) are the numerator and denominator orders, and are load coefficients. For downstream close-loop POL converters, the input impedance in view of load impedance of (14) will produce:

$$Z_i(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} b_i [n_1 s^{i+3} + n_2 s^{i+2}] + \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i$$

The load model can be used to understand the nature of different loads that could exist on the DC Microgrid. The generalized load model (15), could be used to represent CPLs, constant impedance loads, first order lag loads and other load types that could incidentally exist because of faults on the microgrid or the changing nature of the dc converters having varying parameters.
Takagi–Sugeno Fuzzy Inference Method

The Takagi–Sugeno (TS) fuzzy inference model is a very good universal approximator for nonlinear systems [19] such as a one-source and a CPL load model of the dc microgrid of Figure 11. In TS fuzzy inference models, a nonlinear system could be decomposed into a subset of linear subsystems of the type \( x(t) = A_i x(t) + B_i u(t) \). These linear models whose local behavior is defined by the \( i \)th fuzzy rule are interconnected by nonlinear scalar functions, and are a convex sum of the original nonlinear system [21]. Lyapunov theorem can then be applied to establish stability or other wise of the TS fuzzy inference model.

For each subsystem \( x(t) \) of the whole model, a premise for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, q \), where \( q \) is the number of nonlinearity (for example, operating point) is established. Each subsystem is further linked to a weighted activation function that will determine the membership degree of the linear subsystem in the whole fuzzy model of the nonlinear system. The decision space of the whole nonlinear system is partitioned into a fuzzy inference rule based space of the form: for rule \( R_i \): if \( z_1(t) \) is activated by \( F_{j1} \), \( \ldots, \) and \( z_q(t) \) is activated by \( F_{jq} \) then the system can be modeled by

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}(t) &= A_i x(t) + B_i u(t) \\
y(t) &= C_i x(t)
\end{align*}
\] (16)

In (16), \( x \) and \( y \) are constant matrices. The weight attributed to the rule of each subsystem of the linear fuzzy model is given as

\[
\omega_i = \omega_i(z(t)) = \prod_{j=1}^{q} F_{ij}(z_j(t)), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, r
\] (17)

and the weights given in (17) can be normalized using

\[
h_i(z(t)) = \frac{\omega_i(z(t))}{\sum_i \omega_i(z(t))}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, r
\] (18)

which verifies the property convex sum

\[
\sum_i h_i(z(t)) = 1, \quad h_i(z(t)) \geq 0 \forall t
\] (19)

For the whole nonlinear model, the fuzzy inference model for the whole nonlinear dc microgrid system can be represented as

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}(t) &= \sum_i h_i(z(t)) A_i x(t) + B_i u(t) \\
y(t) &= \sum_i h_i(z(t)) C_i x(t)
\end{align*}
\] (20)

The TS theorem leads to a generation of the Lyapunov function \( V(x) \) that allows the determination of the domain of attraction for a given operating point. The existence of a Lyapunov candidate based on the TS model shows that the given operation point is asymptotically stable. The resulting fuzzy inference model showing this asymptotic stability can then be represented as a system of linear matrix inequality (LMI) which is an optimal convex solution of the Lyapunov stability criteria. The feasibility of the LMI of the converter is established by solving LMI equations in (21) to obtain a matrix \( M \) that is positive definite according to Lyapunov criteria.

\[
\begin{align*}
M = M^T &> 0 \\
A_i^T M + M A_i &< 0 \\
A_i^T M + M A_i &< 0, \quad \ldots, \quad A_i^T M + M A_i &< 0, \quad \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}
\end{align*}
\] (21)

Existence of a positive definite \( M \) establishes the feasibility of the fuzzy models generated. A large signal Lyapunov candidate solution can then be constructed based on the \( M \) matrix generated [19], [23]. The large signal domain that shows the domain of stability of the microgrid based on the rated power and the load type is then determined. The existence of \( M \) is based on satisfying two conditions. First, all local linear subsystem must be stable. For this to be possible, the matrices in (21) must be Hurwitz. Secondly, if \( A = \sum_i A_i \) is Hurwitz, then a Lyapunov function, which proves the stability of the linear local model must also exist.

For the dc microgrid model shown in Figure 11 with CPL \( (p/v_c) \), a suitable operating point for the CPL defined by inductor current and capacitor voltage with constant load power can be represented [21] as

\[
\begin{align*}
i_{eo} &= \frac{V_e - v_{co}}{r_f} = \frac{p_s}{v_{co}} \\
v_{so} &= \frac{V_e + \sqrt{V_c^2 - 4 p_s r_f}}{2}
\end{align*}
\] (22)

A change of variables \( x^1 = i_1 \), \( x_2 = v_{co} \) provide avenues by which the system can be studied at its operating points. Using these change of variables, the system in Figure 11 can be linearized and studied at its operating points, leading to

\[
\begin{align*}
x_1 &= \frac{-r_f}{L_f} x_1 - \frac{1}{L_f} x_2 \\
x_2 &= \frac{1}{c} x_1 - \frac{p_s}{C v_{co} } x_2 - x_2
\end{align*}
\] (23)

The system in (23) with its nonlinear part can be represented as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
x_1 \\
x_2
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
-x_2 \\
\frac{-r_f}{L_f} x_1 - \frac{1}{L_f} x_2
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
f(x_2)
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
A x_2 \\
f(x_2)
\end{bmatrix}
\] (24)

In (24), \( f(x) \) represent the system nonlinear part that verifies the convexity property.

In (25), the upper and lower boundary of the dc microgrid nonlinear activation function \( f(x) \) lies within the domain of the fuzzy inference rule with activation functions \( F_1^l \) and \( F_1^h \) that verifies the convexity property

\[
\begin{align*}
0 &\leq F_1^l(z) = \frac{z - f_{min}}{f_{max} - f_{min}} \leq 1 \\
0 &\leq F_1^h(z) = \frac{f_{max} - z}{f_{max} - f_{min}} \leq 1
\end{align*}
\] (26)

Thus

\[
\begin{align*}
f_{min} &= \frac{p_s}{C v_{co} } v_{co} + x_{2max} \\
f_{max} &= \frac{p_s}{C v_{co} } v_{co} + x_{2min}
\end{align*}
\] (27)
The two local models resulting from the single nonlinear function of the system can be represented with two rules using the activation functions $F_1^\tau(z)$ and $F_2^\tau(z)$, and they are respectively represented [21] as

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -r_f \\ \frac{L_f}{1} \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{f_{max}} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = A_1 \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

(28)

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -r_f \\ \frac{L_f}{1} \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{f_{min}} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = A_2 \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

(29)

To further clarify the method of TS fuzzy inference model, value of the nonlinear part of the dc microgrid can be deduced using a TS membership function. The membership function ($\mu$) of the TS fuzzy inference model can be represented as shown in Figure 12.

![TS Membership Function](image)

**Figure 12. Takagi-Sugeno Fuzzy Inference Formulation**

TS membership function can be derived such that for the nonlinear part of the dc microgrid equation in (23), membership function can be written as already discussed in (25), leading to

$$Z = f(x_2) = f_{max}M_1(z) + f_{min}M_2(z)$$

where

$$M_1(z) + M_2(z) = 1$$

(31)

and $F_{max}$ and $F_{min}$ are computed based on

$$x_2 \in [x_{2min} \ldots x_{2max}]$$

(32)

The fuzziness of the TS method implies that if $z$ is in the positive (+) region of the TS membership model shown in Figure 12, then the matrix of the dc microgrid can be evaluated as shown in (28). If $z$ is in the negative region of TS membership of figure 12, then the dc microgrid can be evaluated as shown in (29). Using this fuzzy method, value of the nonlinear system part can be deduced, and stability of the system evaluated. The stability domain of the dc microgrid system is simulated for a data center microgrid with a 380 V dc bus supplying data center cloud server using CCLPs. The data center dc bus is assumed to have a 600 V input voltage from renewable energy sources [3] (such as PV arrays). System parameters [3] are shown in Table 1. Using the LMI model in (21) and systems parameters in Table I, a Matlab procedure for obtaining M as discussed in [26] is used to obtain the needed matrix M for the dc microgrid system shown in Figure 11.

The obtained matrix M for a 400 W cloud server center CPL and its associated Lyapunov candidate [23] is

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 105.3 & 0.056 \\ 0.056 & 0.015 \end{bmatrix}$$

(33)

$$V(x) = 105.3x_1^2 + 0.112x_1x_2 + 0.015x_2^2$$

(34)

The domain of attraction for the system using varying dc–bus capacitance and varying cloud server loads modeled as CCLPs are shown in Figure 13 and Figure 14 respectively. Since acceptable level of dc bus capacitance for dc microgrid is still an area of research study worldwide [3], [27], [28], the impact of allowed capacitance values for the 380 V dc microgrid on the dc bus link is shown in Figure 15. It is shown that the size of domain of attraction, and hence system stability increases with increasing dc bus capacitance. For CCLPs, the system domain of attraction indicate that system stability will be jeopardized when server loads, acting as CCLPs, increases on the dc microgrid.

The system domain of attraction decreases when CCLPs increases on the dc bus. To explicitly show this effect, the upper limit of each server load domain of attraction is traced out on the voltage axis as shown in Figure 15, and the relationship of the domain of attraction and server loads is explicitly plotted and shown in Figure 16. The existence of a Lyapunov candidate for the modeled system ensures that the system is large–signal–stable [21], [23], even when the system is experiencing large variation in input voltage. The system will maintain stability when voltage variation is being experienced by the system falls within the stability margin of the domain of attraction. The asymptotic stability of the modeled 600 V / 380 V dc microgrid is verified by varying the input voltages Vin of the system as shown in Figure 17.

In Figure 17, it is shown that when the system input voltage (blue) is varied by reducing it to 550 V in time 0 to 0.2 s, 0.5 to 0.7 s, 1 to 1.2 s etc., the output voltage (red), on dc bus supplied to the cloud server system is stable at 380 V dc. This asymptotic stability implies that the existence of Lyapunov candidate resulting from the LMI based TS fuzzy inference model makes the system to be stable in the large signal sense when the input voltage is experiencing large signal variation by decreasing in steps of 50 V from 600 V to 550 V for many time steps of the simulation.

![DC Microgrid Bus Fuzzy Inference Stability Analysis](image)

**Figure 13. Domain of attraction for the 600 V / 380 V data center dc microgrid using varying dc bus capacitance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V_{bus}$</td>
<td>600 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC Bus Voltage ($V_{bus}$)</td>
<td>380 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus Capacitance (varied)</td>
<td>200 µF, 300 µF, 400 µF and 500 µF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Center Server CPL (varied)</td>
<td>400 W, 600 W, 1200 W and 1600 W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For communication purpose, the dc bus microgrid powerline can be modelled as a linear time invariant (LTI) system, and generally for LTI systems [25], [29], the group delay is a useful measure of amplitude and time distortion of signals generated by such channels [25], [29]. Suppose that x is the input signal to the LTI dc bus powerline channel having impulse response h[n], then the channel output y[n] is,

$$y[n] = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} x[k] h[n-k]$$  \hspace{1cm} (35)

which can also be represented using the discrete time Fourier transform identity as,

$$Y(e^{j\omega}) = H(e^{j\omega}) X(e^{j\omega})$$ \hspace{1cm} (36)

(36) implies that the magnitude of the PLC channel and the input has multiplicative effect while their phases have additive effects [25], [29] leading to,

$$|Y(e^{j\omega})| = |H(e^{j\omega})||X(e^{j\omega})|$$  \hspace{1cm} (37)

$$\angle Y(e^{j\omega}) = \angle H(e^{j\omega}) + \angle X(e^{j\omega})$$ \hspace{1cm} (38)

In (37), $H(e^{j\omega}) = H(e^{j\omega}) |H(0)| e^{j\theta(\omega)}$, and in (38), $\theta(\omega) = \text{arg}[H(e^{j\omega})]$. Thus, the phase delay $\tau_p(\omega)$ of the LTI channel is represented as,

$$\tau_p(\omega) = -\frac{\theta(\omega)}{\omega} = -\frac{\text{arg}[H(e^{j\omega})]}{\omega}$$ \hspace{1cm} (39)

Also, the group delay $\tau_g(\omega)$ of the DC powerline LTI channel can be represented as,

$$\tau_g(\omega) = \text{grd}[H(e^{j\omega})] = -\frac{d}{d\omega}\text{arg}[H(e^{j\omega})] = -\frac{d}{d\omega}\theta(\omega)$$ \hspace{1cm} (40)
In other to evaluate the level of distortion that CPLs could generate on the dc microgrid bus powerline, the dc microgrid system of Figure 11 is simplified as shown in Figure 18 so as to represent a medium length and medium voltage dc bus powerline system [30, 31]. The inductance parameter of Figure 18, aptly represent a medium length powerline such as the dc microgrid bus under consideration [30], [31], [29].

This dc bus model in Figure 18 is a model of a second order low pass filter [32] and its transfer function can be represented [33] as

\[ H(s) = \frac{\omega^2_o}{s^2 + \omega^2_o + \frac{s\omega_o}{Q} + \frac{1}{sL}} \]  

(41)

By using KCL, the transfer function of the dc microgrid in (35) and Figure 18 can be represented as

\[ \frac{V_{out}}{V_{in}} = \frac{1}{s^2 + s\frac{1}{P} + \frac{1}{LC}} \]  

(42)

The transfer function in (42) is used to evaluate the rate of signal distortion that will be experienced by a transmitted signal when CPLs exist on the microgrid dc bus PLC channel. A 39.5 mH inductance value [21], [23] and 500 µF capacitance value is used for simulation. The in (42) is the 380 V dc bus voltage. P is the IoT data center load modeled as CPL for the dc bus microgrid. CPL power (P) ratings used to represent IoT server loads on the dc bus channel are 400 W, 800 W, 1000 W, 1200 W and 1600 W respectively. The rate of a baseband sine wave signal distortion as a function of delay samples using the dc bus channel parameters discussed is evaluated using Matlab and results are shown in Figure 19 to Figure 24.

As shown in Figure 19 to Figure 24, the width of the filter passband is decreasing as more CPLs exist on the dc bus PLC channel. This signifies that lesser samples of the baseband channel signal will experience equal amount of distortion as more CPLs exist on the microgrid dc bus channel. As dc bus channel CPL increases, increasing samples of any signal transmitted on the dc bus channel will experience different amount of delay, leading to more signal samples arriving distorted at the receiving end.
The more abrupt transition from the passband to stopband, the greater the delay distortion that a transmitted signal will experience across the transition band. The more abrupt a transmitted signal distortion. Also, as more CPLs exist on the dc bus channel, there is increasing abruptness from the filter’s passband to stopband. The more abrupt a transition from the passband to stopband, the greater the delay distortion that a transmitted signal will experience across the transition band.

It can also be deduced using Figure 24 that the amplitude of the baseband signal decreases progressively as more CPL exist on the dc bus channel, leading to more transmitted signal distortion. Also, as more CPLs exist on the dc bus channel, there is increasing abruptness from the filter’s passband to stopband. The more abrupt a transition from the passband to stopband, the greater the delay distortion that a transmitted signal will experience across the transition band.

CONCLUSION

In this paper, a 600 V / 380 V DC–DC microgrid that can be used to represent state of the art IoT data center microgrid is modeled using Matlab. Takagi–Sugeno fuzzy inference model is used to establish the domain of attraction of the dc microgrid. It is discovered that the domain of attraction, which represent the stability region of the data center microgrid increases when the dc bus channel capacitance increases. This signifies enhanced system stability. The domain of attraction also decreases with increasing CPL IoT server loads on the dc bus channel. This signifies reducing system stability. PLC is being considered as a future means of monitoring the dc bus microgrid such that the power usage efficiency and stability of the data center microgrid can be improved. In this paper impact of varying CPLs such as data center IoT cloud servers on signals transmitted on the dc microgrid bus PLC channel is evaluated. Our contribution in this paper, to the best of our knowledge is the first reported evaluation of the stability and measurement of the PLC channel signal distortion of the 380 V dc microgrid when CPLs on the dc bus is varying. It is discovered that more CPLs on the PLC channel will lead to increased signal distortion on the dc bus channel when PLC is used as means of communication on the channel. Hence, to be able to use the powerline of the dc microgrid as a means of monitoring the dc microgrid stability and energy utilization, effects of varying CPLs on PLC channel must be considered. Future work in this area will include research work on delay equalizers that can be used to reduce signal distortion rate when the dc microgrid powerline is used as a means of communication, monitoring stability and energy utilization on the microgrid dc bus channel.
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